Charge asymmetry in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + \nu's, \quad \gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^{\pm}\mu^{\mp} + \nu's$ with polarized photons. New results

I. F. Ginzburg, K.A. Kanishev, Sobolev Inst. of Mathematics, SB RAS and Novosibirsk State University Novosibirsk

M. Cannoni, O. Panella Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Perugia, Italy • Charge asymmetry in processes like

$$\gamma\gamma
ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-
u_\mu\overline{
u}_\mu$$
, $\gamma\gamma
ightarrow W^\pm\mu^\mp
u$

appears due to P nonconservation in the SM.

• Processes like

 $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \mu \nu \nu (\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W \tau \nu) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu \nu \nu \nu (W \mu \nu \nu \nu)$ (with $\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu_\mu \nu_\tau$ decay) produce the same observable final state enhancing total event rate by 37% (17%). We consider such cascade processes.

• Taking into account same effects for e^+e^- , $e^+\mu^-$, μ^+e^- enhance statistics by 4 times.

Diagrams for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu \ (\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \mu \nu \nu)$

19 tree level diagrams:

(1) 3 double-resonant diagrams (DRD), $\sigma_d \sim (\alpha^2/M_W^2) Br^2(W \rightarrow \mu\nu)$

(2) 4 single-resonant diagrams (SRD),

 $\sigma_{sW} \sim (\alpha^3/M_W^2) Br(W \to \mu\nu) \sim \sigma_d \alpha/Br(W \to \mu\nu)$

(3) 4 single resonant diagrams with ν exchange in *t*-channel, $\sigma_{s\mu} \sim (\alpha^3/s)Br(W \rightarrow \mu\nu)$

(4) 6 diagrams with radiation of Z boson,

 $\sigma_Z \sim (\alpha^3/s) Br(Z \to \nu \bar{\nu})$ (not for $\gamma \gamma \to \tau \mu \nu \nu$)

(5) 2 multi-peripheral non-resonant diagrams, $\sigma_n \sim \alpha^4/M_W^2$



Numerically:

- (3), (4) and (5) are negligible in comparison with DRD (1).
- SRD (2) contribution itself is about 5% of DRD (1).
- The interference of SRD with DRD is destructive.
- DRD contribution covers almost entire 98.7 % cross section.



We used CalcHEP for calculations. For each observed particle:

- Cut in escape angle θ $\pi - \theta_0 > \theta > \theta_0$ with $\theta_0 = 10 \ mrad$,
- Cut in transverse momentum p_{\perp} : $p_{\perp} > p_{\perp\mu}^c ~~ \text{with}~ p_{\perp\mu}^c = \text{10 GeV}.$

These simultaneous cuts allow many backgrounds to be eliminated.

The number of generated events = anticipated annual number $\simeq 10^6$ events.

Monte–Carlo event generator IMITATE experiment \Rightarrow Statistical uncertainty of MC results is the same (or smaller) as in future real experiments with the same number of events.

Difference between distributions of positive and negative muons in $\gamma_{\lambda_1}\gamma_{\lambda_2} \to W \mu \nu$.

Both photons are left polarized: $\gamma_{-}\gamma_{-}$.



Negative μ distribution.

Positive μ distribution.

For $\gamma \gamma \to W^{\pm} \mu^{\pm} + \nu$'s processes we considered normalized mean values of longitudinal p_{\parallel}^{\mp} and transverse p_{\perp}^{\mp} momenta of muons:

$$P_{L}^{\pm} = \frac{\int p_{\parallel}^{\pm} d\sigma}{E_{\gamma max} \int d\sigma}, \quad P_{T}^{\pm} = \frac{\int p_{\perp}^{\pm} d\sigma}{E_{\gamma max} \int d\sigma},$$

and taken their relative difference as a measure of charge asymmetry:

$$\Delta_L = \frac{P_{L+}^- - P_{L+}^+}{P_{L+}^- + P_{L+}^+}, \quad \Delta_T = \frac{P_{T+}^- - P_{T+}^+}{P_{T+}^- + P_{T+}^+}$$

7

• Quantities for $\gamma_+\gamma_+$ and $\gamma_-\gamma_+$ can be obtained with $\mu^+ \leftrightarrow \mu^-$ exchange for P_N and with sign change for Δ_N .

• Monte Carlo simulations have statistical uncertainty $\delta P_{L,T}, \delta \Delta_{L,T}$ similar to experimental.

$\boxed{\gamma_{\lambda_1}\gamma_{\lambda_2}}$	N	P_N^-	$P_{N_{\star}}^+$	Δ_N
		δP_N^-	δP_N^+	$\delta \Delta_N$
$\gamma_{-}\gamma_{-}$	L	0.599	0.170	0.557
		0.35%	0.37%	0.37%
	Т	0.338	0.150	0.386
		0.96%	0.42%	0.99%
$\gamma_+\gamma$	L	0.209	0.556	-0.454
		0.82%	0.34%	0.52%
	Т	0.159	0.249	-0.220
		0.72%	0.82%	2.52%

Charge asymmetry quantities and statistical uncertainties for $\gamma_{\lambda_1}\gamma_{\lambda_2} \to W \mu \nu$.

Statistical uncertainty is 5 \div 10 times larger than $1/\sqrt{N}$.

DRD approximation: Only DRD diagrams.

• Inaccuracy of DRD approximation in $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W\mu\nu$ for $P_{L,T}$ and $\Delta_{L,T}$ quantities $\lesssim 5\%$.

• Inaccuracy for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W \tau \nu \rightarrow W \mu \nu \nu \nu$ contribution in this approximation $\leq 0.17 \cdot 5\% = 0.85\% \Rightarrow \leq$ statistical uncertainty for 10^6 events.

We use this approximation to describe $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\mu\nu$ (not $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu\nu$)

Cascade process

Two muons (or $W + \mu$) with missing transverse momentum can appear via processes

$$\gamma\gamma
ightarrow au^+ \mu^-
u_ au ar{
u}_\mu \ (\gamma\gamma
ightarrow W au
u)$$

followed by $\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}$.

Total event rate enhancement:

- for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W \mu + \nu' s$: $B \equiv Br(\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \nu) = 17\%$
- for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + \nu' s$: $2B + B^2 \approx 37$ %.

Calculation of such processes (6 or more final particles) is a computationally challenging task. Reasonable approximations provide high enough accuracy for our purposes. In the frame of DRD approximation each τ is produced from W decay. In the rest frame of W the τ^{\pm} polarisation vector $\pm s/2$ is given by 3-momentum of τ (right or left polarized). In the lab system, where τ momentum is p_{τ} we have

$$s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{p_{\nu} m_{\tau}}{(p_{\tau} p_{\nu})} - \frac{p_{\tau}}{m_{\tau}} \right)$$

Distribution of produced μ in the lab system is obtained easily by integration over final neutrino, it is expressed via 4-momenta of τ and μ

$$f = \frac{4}{\pi E_{\tau} m_{\tau}^4} \left[(3m_{\tau}^2 - 4p_{\tau} p_{\mu}) p_{\tau} p_{\mu} + p_{\mu} s \cdot m_{\tau} (4p_{\tau} p_{\mu} - m_{\tau}^2) \right] d\Gamma$$

11

Essential feature

Decay $\tau \to \mu \nu_{\tau} \nu_{\mu}$ involves 3 particles, the effective mass of the $\nu \bar{\nu}$ system $m_{\nu\nu}$ varies from 0 to m_{τ} . Hence, the μ distribution is *contracted* in comparison with τ distribution: $E_{\mu} \leq E_{\tau}(1 - m_{\nu\nu}^2/m_{\tau}^2).$

Distributions of μ in cascade process



Entire distributions of μ



- \bullet Cascade process changes μ distribution only at small momenta.
- \bullet Asymmetry parameters decrease by $\lesssim 3\%$

$\gamma_{\lambda_1}\gamma_{\lambda_2}$	Ν	P_N^-	P_N^+	Δ_N
$\gamma\gamma$	L	0.548	0.164	0.539
	Т	0.311	0.142	0.374
$\gamma_+\gamma$	L	0.199	0.513	-0.440
•	Т	0.152	0.232	-0.207

Total charge asymmetry quantities.

• Cuts in μ transverse momentum reduce the contribution of cascade process stronger than the main contribution \Rightarrow reduce inaccuracy of DRD approximation in the description of charge asymmetry with growth of $p^c_{\perp\mu}$.

Dependence on cut
$$p_{\perp\mu}^c$$

New Physics is expected to be switched on at large transverse momenta. We study the dependence of asymmetry on the cut $p_{\perp\mu}^c$.



Summary

- Discussed charge asymmetry is huge and easily observable effect.
- Cascade process weakly affect the asymmetry, value of this process decreases with growth of $p_{\perp\mu}$.
- Introduced quantities are large even with large $p_{\perp\mu}^c$ cuts.
- The used approach for estimate of statistical uncertainty of future experiments looks very effective.
- Real photons will not be monochromatic.

Early estimates: non-monochromaticity decreases the considered asymmetries only weakly.