## ATF2 Layout/Optics (v3.3)



## ATF2 LAYOUT


selected at the ATF2 Optics Video Meeting of April 20, 2006

## What's New in Version 3.3

- an error in the v3.2 MAD deck was fixed (drift between extraction septa \#2 (BS2X) and \#3 (BS3X) was incorrectly set to $0.1 \mathrm{~m} \ldots$ the correct value is 0.2 m )
- drift distances between quadrupoles and sextupoles in FF lengthened to accommodate FFTB movers (per Okugi-san and Andrei)
- drift distances between IP and dump bend, and between dump bend and dump, set to Tauchi-san's latest values (also length of dump bend)
- number of skew quadrupoles for vertical dispersion correction in EXT increased from 2 to 4 (maybe)
- 2 sextupoles for vertical chromaticity correction in EXT (probably) in addition to the 2 for $2^{\text {nd }}$ order horizontal dispersion
- stripline BPMs and dipole correctors added to EXT

- offset between north DR straight and skew correction / diagnostic section $=6.0 \mathrm{~m}$
- west Assembly Hall wall to IP = 13.3 m
- west Assembly Hall wall to center of dump bend $=11.2 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $\mathrm{L}_{\text {bend }}=1.35 \mathrm{~m}$ )
- west Assembly Hall wall to exit face of dump $=7.3 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\text {dump }}=2.3 \mathrm{~m}\right)$

ATF2: Version 3.3



EXT Diagnostic Section (version 3.3)


2nd ATF2 Project Meeting (May 30, 2006)





D (m)




| TABLE 2: ATF2 EXT quadrupoles ("optimal 2") |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quad | magnet | magnet | power | Imax | KLmax | KL | NOTEs |
| name | name | type | supply | p.s. |  |  | (see below) |
| QD1X | QD6Xmag | Tokin 3393 | QD1Xps | 100 | 0.3021 | -0.2500 | 2 |
| QD2X | QD2Xmag | Hitachi 2 | QD2Xps | 100 | 0.6657 | -0.2529 |  |
| QF1X | QF1Xmag | Hitachi 2 | QF1Xps | 100 | 0.6657 | 0.3554 |  |
| QK0X | QK0Xmag | ECUBE skew | QK0Xps | 20 | $2.7673 e-4$ | 0.0 |  |
| QS1X | QS1Xmag | ECUBE skew | QS1Xps | 20 | $2.7673 e-4$ | 0.0 |  |
| QF2X | QF2Xmag | Hitachi 1 | QF2Xps | 100 | 0.2989 | 0.2122 |  |
| QD3X | QD3Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QD3Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | -0.5507 |  |
| QF3X | QF3Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF3Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 0.3238 |  |
| QMX | QF5Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF5Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 0.7293 | 3 |
| QF4X | ------ | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.5 | 2.0628 | 1,4 |
| QS2X | QS2Xmag | ECUBE skew | QS2Xps | 20 | $2.7673 e-4$ | 0.0 |  |
| QD4X | QD4Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF7Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | -1.3399 | 5 |
| QF5X | QD5Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QD5Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 0.6193 |  |
| BH4X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QD5X | QD1Xmag | Hitachi 2 | QD6Xps | 100 | 0.6657 | -0.3528 | 2 |
| QK1X | QK1Xmag | IDX skew | QK1Xps | 5 | $2.5363 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| QD6X | QD7Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QD7Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | -1.2504 |  |
| QF6X | QF6Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF6Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 1.2504 |  |
| QK2X | QK2Xmag | IDX skew | QK2Xps | 5 | $2.5363 e-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| QD7X | QF4Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF4Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | -1.2504 |  |
| QF7X | QD8Xmag | Hitachi 4 | QD8Xps | 200 | 2.0650 | 1.6706 | 6 |
| QD8X | QF7Xmag | Hitachi 4 | QD4Xps | 200 | 2.0650 | -1.2478 | 5 |
| QF8X | QD9Xmag | Hitachi 4 | ----- | 200 | 2.0650 | 1.6706 | 6 |
| QK3X | QK3Xmag | IDX skew | QK3Xps | 5 | $2.5363 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| QD9X | ------- | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.5 | -1.2504 | 1 |
| QF9X | ------- | IHEP |  | 100 | 2.5 | 1.2504 | 1 |
| QK4X | QK4Xmag | IDX skew | QK4Xps | 5 | $2.5363 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| QD10X | ------- | IHEP | -- | 100 | 2.5 | -0.8436 | 1 |
| QF10X | ------- | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.5 | 0.8106 | 1 |
| QD11X | ------ | IHEP | - | 100 | 2.5 | -0.3753 | 1 |
| QF11X | ----- | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.5 | 0.3753 | 1 |
| QD12X | ------- | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.5 | -0.3753 | 1 |

note: IHEP quadrupole needs > 135 amps to reach $\mathrm{KL}=2.5$

| TABLE 3: ATF2 EXT quadrupoles ("version 3.3") |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quad | magnet | magnet | power | Imax | KLmax | KL | NOTEs |
| name | name | type | supply | p.s. |  |  |  |
| Q1X | QD3Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QD3Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 1.0465 |  |
| QS1X | ------ |  | ------ | 5 | $2.5363 e-2$ | 0.0 | new magnet (?) |
| Q2X | QF3Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF3Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | -0.9369 |  |
| Q3X | QF4Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF4Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 0.6779 |  |
| QS2X | ------- |  | ------ | 5 | $2.5363 e-2$ | 0.0 | new magnet (?) |
| Q4X | QD6Xmag | Tokin 3393 | QD6Xps | 100 | 0.3021 | -0.0141 |  |
| QS3X | ------ |  | ------ | 5 | $2.5363 e-2$ | 0.0 | new magnet (?) |
| Q5X | QD4Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QD4Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 0.7014 |  |
| Q6X | QD5Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QD5Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | -0.9331 |  |
| QS4X | ------ |  | ------ | 5 | $2.5363 e-2$ | 0.0 | new magnet (?) |
| Q7X | QF5Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF5Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 1.1083 |  |
| Q8X | QD1Xmag | Hitachi 2 | QD1Xps | 100 | 0.6657 | 0.3651 |  |
| Q9X | QD7Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QD7Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | -0.6084 |  |
| Q10X | QF6Xmag | Hitachi 5 | QF6Xps | 100 | 2.1050 | 0.7049 |  |
| QK1X | QK1Xmag | IDX skew | QK1Xps | 5 | $2.5363 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| Q11X | ------- | IHEP | ---- | 100 | 2.1 | -1.0237 |  |
| Q12X | ------- | IHEP | ---- | 100 | 2.1 | 1.0237 |  |
| QK2X | QK2Xmag | IDX skew | QK2Xps | 5 | $2.5363 e-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| Q13X | ------- | IHEP | - | 100 | 2.1 | -1.0237 |  |
| Q14X | QD8Xmag | Hitachi 4 | QD8Xps | 200 | 2.0650 | 1.3683 |  |
| Q15X | QF7Xmag | Hitachi 4 | QF7Xps | 100 | 1.0488 | -1.0152 |  |
| Q16X | QD9Xmag | Hitachi 4 | ------ | --- | 2.0650 | 1.3683 | in series with Q14X |
| QK3X | QK3Xmag | IDX skew | QK3Xps | 5 | $2.5363 \mathrm{e}-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| Q17X | ------ | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.1 | -1.0237 |  |
| Q18X | ------- | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.1 | 1.0237 |  |
| QK4X | QK4Xmag | IDX skew | QK4Xps | 5 | $2.5363 e-2$ | 0.0 |  |
| Q19X | ------- | IHEP | ------ | 100 | 2.1 | -0.6833 |  |
| Q20X | ------- | IHEP | - | 100 | 2.1 | 0.6552 |  |
| Q21X | QD2Xmag | Hitachi 2 | QD2Xps | 100 | 0.6657 | -0.2989 |  |
| Q22X | QF1Xmag | Hitachi 2 | QF1Xps | 100 | 0.6657 | 0.2989 |  |

note: QF2X (Hitachi 1) and one IHEP quadrupole are left over

## EXT Performance Simulations (Preliminary)

## Simulation Parameters

- included
- perfect beam from Damping Ring ( $\left.\varepsilon_{x}=2 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}=3 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}\right)$
- perfect Final Focus (QM16 to IP)
- vertical dipole misalignments ${ }^{1}: 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (rms)
- horizontal quadrupole misalignments: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (rms)
- vertical quadrupole misalignments: $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (rms)
- quadrupole rolls: $0.3 \mathrm{mrad}(\mathrm{rms})$
- BPM resolution: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (rms)
- extraction magnet (KEX1,QM6R,QM7R,BS1X,BS2X,BS3X) skew quadrupole errors: $-0.015 \leq \mathrm{KL}_{\text {skew }} \leq+0.015$ (uniform)
- wire scanner rolls: $-0.2^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq+0.2^{\circ}$ (uniform)
- wire scanner beam size errors: $\sigma=\sigma_{0}\left(1+\Delta \sigma_{\text {relative }}\right)+\Delta \sigma_{\text {absolute }}$
- not included
- quadrupole strength errors ( $\Delta \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{K}$ )
- BPM offsets
- BPM rolls
- tuning in FF

[^0]
## Simulation Procedure

1. apply errors
2. steer flat (EXT only)
3. launch into FF

- use 2 virtual correctors
- steer to 2 virtual BPMs (one at the IP and one $90^{\circ}$ upstream)
- virtual BPMs are perfect

4. measure dispersion in diagnostic section

- scan input beam energy
- measure orbits
- fit position vs energy at each BPM

5. correct vertical dispersion in diagnostic section

- back propagate measured $\eta_{y}$ to start of diagnostic section to get $\eta_{y 0}$ and $\eta_{y 0}^{\prime}$
- correct using skew quads (QS1X, QS2X, QS3X, and QS4X) in dispersive region of EXT, minimizing residual coupling

6. correct coupling

- scan 4 skew quadrupoles sequentially
- deduce projected $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$ from wire scanner measurements
- set each skew quad to minimize projected $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$


## EXT stripline BPMs and dipole correctors



BPMs: 14 existing + 8 new; HCORs: 7 existing NKK "type H" + 3 new; VCORs: 10 existing NKK "type V" + 2 new

Skew Quadrupoles for Vertical Dispersion Correction: existing EXT



$$
\varsigma^{2}=\frac{\eta_{x}^{2} \sigma_{\delta}^{2}}{\beta_{x} \varepsilon_{x}}
$$


see ATF-99-03, "Skew Quadrupoles for Dispersion Control in the ATF Extraction Line", by Paul Emma

Skew Quadrupoles for Vertical Dispersion Correction: v3.3


## errors only ( $\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{*}: 10210 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$



$$
\text { launch only }\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}^{*}: 10210 \mathrm{~nm} \rightarrow 146.8 \mathrm{~nm}\right)
$$



correct $\eta_{y}\left(\sigma_{y}{ }^{*}: 40.0 \mathrm{~nm} \rightarrow 39.9 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$


correct coupling ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{*}: 39.9 \mathrm{~nm} \rightarrow 37.6 \mathrm{~nm}$ )


## Simulation Results (1): $\sigma_{y}{ }^{*}$



## Simulation Results (2): $\gamma \varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$





## Dispersion Correction Skew Quads



## Simulation Results (3): $\sigma_{y}{ }^{*}$



perfect wire scanners (no measurement errors) were used during coupling correction
note: red dotted lines show tracking for perfect machine (no errors, no corrections)

## Coupling Correction Skew Quads


old vs new: launch only (no extraction skews)


## (Inconclusive) Conclusions

- vertical dispersion correction with 2 skew quadrupoles creates coupling ... solution with 4 skew quadrupoles seems not optimal yet ... needs more work
- coupling correction quads (QK1-4X) seem strong, given the assumed errors ... due to vertical dispersion correction?
- further study of correction schemes and (perhaps) adjustment of optics in dispersive part of EXT are required before we can decide on how many skew quadrupoles we need and how strong they need to be
- maybe more on this during the meeting ...


## Version 3.3 Issues

- skew quadrupoles and vertical dispersion correction
- is the IP still far enough from the west Assembly Hall wall at 13.3 m ?
- MAD deck for FF is still a bit sketchy ... need to put in BPMs, correctors, etc.
- need to do more misalignment/correction and performance simulations (including realistic wire scanner resolutions ... what is "realistic")
- vertical chromaticity in EXT.. put in a $3^{\text {rd }}$ and or $4^{\text {th }}$ sextupole?
- need new kicker cables (kickers are $8.2 \mathrm{~m} / 35 \mathrm{~ns}$ further apart)
- laserwires on both sides of EXT enclosure shielding wall ... light path?


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{SHI}$ "type H " dipoles are assumed to have nonzero sextupole components
    ${ }^{2}$ Magnitude of $\mathrm{KL}_{\text {skew }}$ chosen to give $100 \%$ average increase in $\gamma \varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$ after steering flat and correcting vertical dispersion

